Epidemic Protocols

- Used in Bayou system from Xerox PARC
- Bayou: weakly connected replicas
 - Useful in mobile computing (mobile laptops)
 - Useful in wide area distributed databases (weak connectivity)
- Based on theory of epidemics (spreading infectious diseases)
 - Upon an update, try to "infect" other replicas as quickly as possible
 - Pair-wise exchange of updates (like pair-wise spreading of a disease)
 - Terminology:
 - Infective store: store with an update it is willing to spread
 - Susceptible store: store that is not yet updated
- Many algorithms possible to spread updates



CS677: Distributed OS

Lecture 17, page 1

Spreading an Epidemic

- Anti-entropy
 - Server *P* picks a server *Q* at random and exchanges updates
 - Three possibilities: only push, only pull, both push and pull
 - Claim: A pure push-based approach does not help spread updates quickly (Why?)
 - Pull or initial push with pull work better
- Rumor mongering (aka *gossiping*)
 - Upon receiving an update, P tries to push to Q
 - If Q already received the update, stop spreading with prob 1/k
 - Analogous to "hot" gossip items => stop spreading if "cold"
 - Does not guarantee that all replicas receive updates
 - Chances of staying susceptible: $s = e^{-(k+1)(1-s)}$



Removing Data

- Deletion of data items is hard in epidemic protocols
- Example: server deletes data item *x*
 - No state information is preserved
 - Can't distinguish between a deleted copy and no copy!
- Solution: death certificates
 - Treat deletes as updates and spread a death certificate
 - Mark copy as deleted but don't delete
 - Need an eventual clean up
 - Clean up dormant death certificates



CS677: Distributed OS

Lecture 17, page 3

Implementation Issues

- Two techniques to implement consistency models
 - Primary-based protocols
 - Assume a primary replica for each data item
 - Primary responsible for coordinating all writes
 - Replicated write protocols
 - No primary is assumed for a data item
 - Writes can take place at any replica



Remote-Write Protocols



- W1. Write request
- W2. Forward request to server for x
- W3. Acknowledge write completed
- W4. Acknowledge write completed
- R1. Read request
- R2. Forward request to server for x
- R3. Return response
- R4. Return response

Traditionally used in client-server systems (no replication)



CS677: Distributed OS

Lecture 17, page 5

Remote-Write Protocols (2)



- W1. Write request
- W2. Forward request to primary
- W3. Tell backups to update
- W4. Acknowledge update
- W5. Acknowledge write completed

R1. Read request R2. Response to read

- Primary-backup protocol
- Allow local reads, sent writes to primary
- Block on write until all replicas are notified
- Implements sequential consistency



Local-Write Protocols (1)



- 1. Read or write request
- 2. Forward request to current server for x
- 3. Move item x to client's server
- 4. Return result of operation on client's server
- Primary-based local-write protocol in which a single copy is migrated between processes.
 - Limitation: need to track the primary for each data item



CS677: Distributed OS

Lecture 17, page 7

Local-Write Protocols (2)



• Primary-backup protocol in which the primary migrates to the process wanting to perform an update



Replicated-write Protocols

- Relax the assumption of one primary
 - No primary, any replica is allowed to update
 - Consistency is more complex to achieve
- Quorum-based protocols
 - Use voting to request/acquire permissions from replicas
 - Consider a file replicated on N servers

• $N_R + N_W > N$ $N_W > N/2$

- Update: contact N_W servers and get them to agree to do update (associate version number with file)
- Read: contact N_R and obtain version number
 - If all servers agree on a version number, read



omputer Science

CS677: Distributed OS

Lecture 17, page 9

Gifford's Quorum-Based Protocol



- Three examples of the voting algorithm:
- a) A correct choice of read and write set
- b) A choice that may lead to write-write conflicts
- c) A correct choice, known as ROWA (read one, write all)

Replica Management

- Replica server placement
 - Web: geophically skewed request patterns
 - Where to place a proxy?
 - K-clusters algorithm
- Permanent replicas versus temporary
 - Mirroring: all replicas mirror the same content
 - Proxy server: on demand replication
- Server-initiated versus client-initiated



CS677: Distributed OS

Lecture 17, page 11

Content Distribution

- Will come back to this in Chap 12
- CDN: network of proxy servers
- Caching:
 - update versus invalidate
 - Push versus pull-based approaches
 - Stateful versus stateless
- Web caching: what semantics to provide?

Final Thoughts

- Replication and caching improve performance in distributed systems
- Consistency of replicated data is crucial
- Many consistency semantics (models) possible
 - Need to pick appropriate model depending on the application
 - Example: web caching: weak consistency is OK since humans are tolerant to stale information (can reload browser)
 - Implementation overheads and complexity grows if stronger guarantees are desired



CS677: Distributed OS

Lecture 17, page 13

Fault Tolerance

- Single machine systems
 - Failures are all or nothing
 - OS crash, disk failures
- Distributed systems: multiple independent nodes
 - Partial failures are also possible (some nodes fail)
- *Question:* Can we automatically recover from partial failures?
 - Important issue since probability of failure grows with number of independent components (nodes) in the systems
 - Prob(failure) = Prob(Any one component fails)=1-P(no failure)



A Perspective

- Computing systems are not very reliable
 - OS crashes frequently (Windows), buggy software, unreliable hardware, software/hardware incompatibilities
 - Until recently: computer users were "tech savvy"
 - Could depend on users to reboot, troubleshoot problems
 - Growing popularity of Internet/World Wide Web
 - "Novice" users
 - Need to build more reliable/dependable systems
 - Example: what is your TV (or car) broke down every day?
 - Users don't want to "restart" TV or fix it (by opening it up)
- Need to make computing systems more reliable
 - Important for online banking, e-commerce, online trading, webmail...



CS677: Distributed OS

Lecture 17, page 15

Basic Concepts

- Need to build *dependable* systems
- Requirements for dependable systems
 - Availability: system should be available for use at any given time
 - 99.999 % availability (five 9s) => very small down times
 - Reliability: system should run continuously without failure
 - Safety: temporary failures should not result in a catastrophic
 - Example: computing systems controlling an airplane, nuclear reactor
 - Maintainability: a failed system should be easy to repair



Basic Concepts (contd)

- Fault tolerance: system should provide services despite faults
 - Transient faults
 - Intermittent faults
 - Permanent faults



CS677: Distributed OS

Lecture 17, page 17

Failure Models

| Type of failure | Description |
|---|--|
| Crash failure | A server halts, but is working correctly until it halts |
| Omission failure Receive omission Send omission | A server fails to respond to incoming requests A server fails to receive incoming messages A server fails to send messages |
| Timing failure | A server's response lies outside the specified time interval |
| Response failure Value failure State transition failure | The server's response is incorrect The value of the response is wrong The server deviates from the correct flow of control |
| Arbitrary failure | A server may produce arbitrary responses at arbitrary times |

• Different types of failures.



Failure Masking by Redundancy



• Triple modular redundancy.



CS677: Distributed OS

Lecture 17, page 19