Last class: Distributed File Systems

- Issues in distributed file systems
- Sun's Network File System case study



CS677: Distributed OS

Lecture 19, page 1

Today: NFS, Coda

- Case Study: NFS (continued)
- Case Study: Coda File System



Semantics of File Sharing

Single machine

Process

В

(a)

Process

А

1. Write "c"

a b

a b c

2. Read gets "abc"

Original file

- a) On a single processor, when a *read* follows a *write*, the value returned by the *read* is the value just written.
- b) In a distributed system with caching, obsolete values may be returned.





Semantics of File Sharing

| Method | Comment |
|-------------------|--|
| UNIX semantics | Every operation on a file is instantly visible to all processes |
| Session semantics | No changes are visible to other processes until the file is closed |
| Immutable files | No updates are possible; simplifies sharing and replication |
| Transaction | All changes occur atomically |

- Four ways of dealing with the shared files in a distributed system.
 - NFS implements session semantics
 - Can use remote/access model for providing UNIX semantics (expensive)
 - Most implementations use local caches for performance and provide session semantics



File Locking in NFS

| Operation | Description | | |
|-----------|--|--|--|
| Lock | Creates a lock for a range of bytes (non-blocking_ | | |
| Lockt | Test whether a conflicting lock has been granted | | |
| Locku | Remove a lock from a range of bytes | | |
| Renew | Renew the lease on a specified lock | | |

• NFS supports file locking

- Applications can use locks to ensure consistency
- Locking was not part of NFS until version 3
- NFS v4 supports locking as part of the protocol (see above table)



CS677: Distributed OS

Lecture 19, page 5

File Locking: Share Reservations

| Current file denial state | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---------|---------|---------|------|--|
| | NONE | READ | WRITE | вотн | |
| READ | Succeed | Fail | Succeed | Fail | |
| WRITE | Succeed | Succeed | Fail | Fail | |
| вотн | Succeed | Fail | Fail | Fail | |
| (a) | | | | | |

| Request | |
|---------|--|
| access | |

| Requested | file | denial | state |
|-----------|------|--------|-------|
|-----------|------|--------|-------|

| | NONE | READ | WRITE | вотн | |
|-------|---------|---------|---------|------|--|
| READ | Succeed | Fail | Succeed | Fail | |
| WRITE | Succeed | Succeed | Fail | Fail | |
| вотн | Succeed | Fail | Fail | Fail | |
| (b) | | | · | | |

Current access state

• The result of an *open* operation with share reservations in NFS.

a) When the client requests shared access given the current denial state.

b) When the client requests a denial state given the current file access state.

Computer Science

CS677: Distributed OS

Client Caching

- Client-side caching is left to the implementation (NFS does not prohibit it)
 - Different implementation use different caching policies
 - Sun: allow cache data to be stale for up to 30 seconds



Client Caching: Delegation

- NFS V4 supports open delegation
 - Server delegates local open and close requests to the NFS client
 - Uses a callback mechanism to recall file delegation.

1. Client asks for file





_

RPC Failures



- Three situations for handling retransmissions: use a duplicate request cache
- a) The request is still in progress
- b) The reply has just been returned
- c) The reply has been some time ago, but was lost.

Use a duplicate-request cache: transaction Ids on RPCs, results cached

```
Computer Science
```

CS677: Distributed OS

Lecture 19, page 9

Security

- The NFS security architecture.
 - Simplest case: user ID, group ID authentication only







Replica Servers

- NFS ver 4 supports replications
- Entire file systems must be replicated
- FS_LOCATION attribute for each file
- Replicated servers: implementation specific



Coda

- Coda: descendent of the Andrew file system at CMU
 - Andrew designed to serve a large (global community)
- Salient features:
 - Support for disconnected operations
 - Desirable for mobile users
 - Support for a large number of users



CS677: Distributed OS

Lecture 19, page 13



- Centrally administered Vice file servers
- Large number of virtue clients



Virtue: Coda Clients



- The internal organization of a Virtue workstation.
 - Designed to allow access to files even if server is unavailable
 - Uses VFS and appears like a traditional Unix file system

Computer Science

_

CS677: Distributed OS

Lecture 19, page 15

Communication in Coda



- Coda uses RPC2: a sophisticated *reliable* RPC system
 - Start a new thread for each request, server periodically informs client it is still working on the request
- RPC2 supports *side-effects:* application-specific protocols
 - Useful for video streaming [where RPCs are less useful]
- RPC2 also has multicast support



Communication: Invalidations



- a) Sending an invalidation message one at a time.
- b) Sending invalidation messages in parallel.

Can use MultiRPCs [Parallel RPCs] or use Multicast

- Fully transparent to the caller and callee [looks like normal RPC]



```
CS677: Distributed OS
```

```
Lecture 19, page 17
```



- Clients in Coda have access to a single shared name space
- Files are grouped into *volumes* [partial subtree in the directory structure]
 - Volume is the basic unit of mounting
 - Namespace: /afs/filesrv.cs.umass.edu [same namespace on all client; different from NFS]
 - Name lookup can cross mount points: support for detecting crossing and automounts



File Identifiers



- Each file in Coda belongs to exactly one volume
 - Volume may be replicated across several servers
 - Multiple logical (replicated) volumes map to the same physical volume
 - 96 bit file identifier = 32 bit RVID + 64 bit file handle



CS677: Distributed OS

Lecture 19, page 19

Sharing Files in Coda



- · Transactional behavior for sharing files: similar to share reservations in NFS
 - File open: transfer entire file to client machine [similar to delegation]
 - Uses session semantics: each session is like a transaction
 - Updates are sent back to the server only when the file is closed



_

Transactional Semantics

| File-associated data | Read? | Modified? |
|------------------------|-------|-----------|
| File identifier | Yes | No |
| Access rights | Yes | No |
| Last modification time | Yes | Yes |
| File length | Yes | Yes |
| File contents | Yes | Yes |

- Network partition: part of network isolated from rest
 - Allow conflicting operations on replicas across file partitions
 - Reconcile upon reconnection
 - Transactional semantics => operations must be serializable
 - Ensure that operations were serializable after thay have executed
 - Conflict => force manual reconciliation



CS677: Distributed OS

Lecture 19, page 21

Client Caching



- Cache consistency maintained using callbacks
 - Server tracks all clients that have a copy of the file [provide *callback promise*]
 - Upon modification: send invalidate to clients



Server Replication



- Use replicated writes: read-once write-all
 - Writes are sent to all AVSG (all accessible replicas)
- How to handle network partitions?
 - Use optimistic strategy for replication
 - Detect conflicts using a Coda version vector
 - Example: [2,2,1] and [1,1,2] is a conflict => manual reconciliation



CS677: Distributed OS

Lecture 19, page 23

Disconnected Operation



- The state-transition diagram of a Coda client with respect to a volume.
 - Use hoarding to provide file access during disconnection
 - Prefetch all files that may be accessed and cache (hoard) locally
 - If AVSG=0, go to emulation mode and reintegrate upon reconnection



•