

- Allow multiple readers to execute in the critical section at once.
- Guarantees mutual exclusion for writers.
- Each read or write of the shared data must happen within a critical section.
- Correctness criteria:
 - How do we control access to the object to permit this protocol?
 - Allow only one writer at any point
 - ⇒ Want many readers reading the object at once
- Using a single lock on the data object is overly restrictive
 - Writers: read data and modify it
 - Readers: read data, never modify it
- An object is shared among many threads, each belonging to one of two classes:

To day: Synchronization for Readers/Writers Problem

- Semaphores are useful for mutual exclusion, progress and bounded waiting
- Counting Semaphore: useful for granting mutually exclusive access for a set of resources
- Binary or Mutex Semaphore: grants mutual exclusive access to a resource
 - $S \rightarrow \text{Signal}()$: release the semaphore, wake up a process if one is waiting for S .
 - $S \rightarrow \text{Wait}()$: get a semaphore, wait if busy semaphore S is available.
- A semaphore S supports two atomic operations:
 - $S \downarrow$: decrease the value of S
 - $S \uparrow$: increase the value of S

Last Class: Semaphores

```

        mutex->Signal(); }

writer->Signal(); // enable writers
if (readers == 0)
    readers -= 1; // reader done
mutex->Wait(); // ensure mutual exclusion
<perfotrm read>
mutex->Signal();

writer->Wait(); // block writers
if (readers == 1)
    readers += 1; // another reader
mutex->Wait(); // ensure mutual exclusion
ReadWrite::Read() {
    mutex->Signal(); // enable others
    <perfotrm write>
    writer->Wait(); // any writers or readers?
ReadWrite::Write() {
}

```

Readers/Writers Problem

```

{
    writer->Value = 1;
    mutex->Value = 1;
    readers = 0;
ReadWrite::ReadWrite {
    // writer or reader
    Semaphore wrt; // controls entry to first
Semaphore mutex; // controls access to readers
    int readers; // counts readers
    private:
        void Write();
        void Read();
    public:
        Class ReadWrite {
}

```

Readers/Writers Problem

```
R1:          R2:          W1:          W2:          R1:          R2:  
Read ()      Write ()     Read ()      Write ()     Read ()      Write ()  
          R1:          R2:          W1:          W2:
```

Readers/Writers: Scenario 2

```
R1:          R2:          W1:          W2:          R1:          R2:  
          Read ()      Write ()     Read ()      Write ()     Read ()      Write ()  
          R1:          R2:          W1:          W2:
```

Readers/Writers: Scenario 1

- Let a writer enter its critical section as soon as possible.
- Alternative desirable semantics:
 - Does this solution guarantee all threads will make progress?
 - If a writer exits and a reader goes next, then all readers that are waiting will fall through (at least one is waiting on write and zero or more can be waiting on mutex).
 - When a writer exits, if there is both a reader and writer waiting, which goes next depends on the schedule.
 - If a writer exits and a reader goes next, then all readers that are waiting will fall through (at least one is waiting on mutex).
 - Let a writer enter its critical section as soon as possible.
- Implementation notes:

Readers/Writers Solution: Discussion

```

R1:           R2:           W1:           W2:           R3:           R4:
    Read ()     Write ()    Read ()     Write ()    Read ()     Write ()

```

Reader/Writers: Scenario 3

```

ReadWriter::Read() {
    write_pendding->Wait();
    // ensures at most one reader will go
    // before a pending write
    read_mutex->Wait();
    // ensure mutual exclusion
    readers += 1;
    // another reader
    if (readers == 1) {
        // synchronize with writers
        write_block->Wait();
        read_mutex->Signal();
    }
    write_pendding->Signal();
}

ReadWrite::Write() {
    write_pendding->Wait();
    // ensures at most one writer will go
    // before a pending write
    read_mutex->Wait();
    // ensure mutual exclusion
    readers -= 1;
    // another writer
    if (readers == 0) {
        // synchronize with writers
        write_block->Wait();
        read_mutex->Signal();
    }
    write_pendding->Signal();
}

```

Readers/Writers Solution Favoring Writers

```

ReadWrite::Write()
{
    write_mutex->Wait();
    if (writers == 1) // block readers
        writers += 1; // another pending writer
    write_mutex->Wait(); // ensure mutual exclusion
    <perform write>
    write_mutex->Signal();
    if (writers == 1) // enable readers
        writers -= 1; // writer done
    write_mutex->Wait(); // ensure mutual exclusion
    if (writers == 0) // enable readers
        read_block->Signal();
}

```

Readers/Writers Solution Favoring Writers

```
R1:          R2:          W1:          W2:  
           Read ()  
           Write ()  
           Read ()  
           Write ()  
           Read ()  
           Write ()
```

Readers/Writers: Scenario 5

```
R1:          R2:          W1:          W2:  
           Read ()  
           Write ()  
           Read ()  
           Write ()  
           Read ()  
           Write ()
```

Readers/Writers: Scenario 4

problem

- Ready assignment: read OSC ch 6, pages 175-177 for a solution to this
- After eating, put down both chopsticks and go back to thinking
 - Block if neighbor has already picked up a chopstick
- Eating \Leftarrow need two chopsticks, try to pick up two closest chopsticks
- Thinking: do nothing
- Share a circular table with five chopsticks
- Five philosophers, each either eats or thinks

Other Synchronizations Problems: Dining Philosophers

```
R1:           R2:           W1:           W2:           Write()
                                         Write()
                                         Read()
                                         Read()
```

Reader/Writers: Scenario 6

- Starvation is possible in either case!

- Favor writers
 - Favor readers

- Two possible solutions using semaphores

- Allow only one writer at a time
 - Allow multiple readers to concurrently access a data

- Readers/writers problem:

Summary