

- One of the most common uses of distributed systems
- **Basic idea:**
 - Given a set of disks attached to different nodes
 - share disks between nodes as if all the disks were attached to every node.
- **Examples:**
 - **Edlab:** One server node with all the disks, and a bunch of diskless workstations on a LAN.
 - **AppleShare:** Every node is both a server with a disk and a client

Today: Distributed File Systems

- Servers export procedures for some set of clients to call
- To use the server, the client does a procedure call
- OS manages the communication

Last Class: Distributed Systems and RPCs

- Naming and Transparency
- Remote file access
- Caching
- Server with state or without
- Replication

Distributed File Systems: Issues

- **Issues**
 - How are files named?
 - Do file names reveal their location?
 - Do file names change if the file moves?
 - Do file names change if the *user* moves?
- **Location transparency:** the name of the file does not reveal the physical storage location.
- **Location independence:** The name of the file need not change if the file's storage location changes.
- Most naming schemes used in practice do not have location independence, but many have location transparency

Naming and Transparency

Naming Strategies: Absolute Names

- **Absolute names:** <machine name: path name>
- **Examples:** AppleShare, Win NT
- **Advantages:**
 - Finding a fully specified file name is simple.
 - It is easy to add and delete new names.
 - No global state.
 - Scales easily.
- **Disadvantages:**
 - User must know the complete name and is aware of which files are local and which are remote.
 - File is location dependent, and thus cannot move.
 - Makes sharing harder.
 - Not fault tolerant.

Naming Strategies: Mount Points

- **Mount Points (NFS - Sun's Network File System)**
 - Each host has a set of local names for remote locations.
 - Each host has a mount table (/etc/fstab) that specifies <remote path name @ machine name> and a <local path name>.
 - At boot time, the local name is bound to the remote name.
 - Users then refer to the <local path name> as if it were local, and the NFS takes care of the mapping
- **Advantages:** location transparent, remote name can change across reboots
- **Disadvantages:** single unified strategy hard to maintain, same file can have different names



NFS: Example

Partial contents of /etc/fstab for Edlab machines:

```

/usr1/mail@delux3.cs.umass.edu:/var/spool/mail
/users/users1@elrv1:/users/users1
/users/users2@elrv1:/users/users2
/users/users3@elrv2:/users/users3
/users/users4@elrv2:/users/users4
/courses/cs300@elrv3:/courses/cs300
/rct/mipse1/4.2/share@elrv1:/exp/rct/share
/rct/common@elrv1:/exp/rct/common
    
```

NFS: Example



Global Name Space

- **Advantages:**
 - Naming is consistent and easy to keep consistent.
 - The global name space insures all the files are the same regardless of where you login.
 - Since names are bound late, moving them is easier.
- **Disadvantages:**
 - It is difficult for the OS to keep file contents consistent due to caching.
 - Global name space may limit flexibility.
 - Performance problems.

Naming Strategies: Global Name Space

- Single name space: CMU's Andrew and Berkeley's Sprite
 - No matter which node you are on, the file names are the same.
 - Set of workstation clients, and a set of dedicated file server machines.
 - When a client starts up, it gets its file name structure from a server.
 - As users access files, the server sends copies to the workstation and the workstation caches the files

Remote File Access and Caching

Once the user specifies a remote file, the OS can do the access either

1. remotely, on the server machine and then return the results using RPC (called *remote service*), or
2. can transfer the file (or part of the file) to the requesting host, and perform local accesses (called *caching*)

Caching Issues:

- Where and when are file blocks cached?
- When are modifications propagated back to the remote file?
- What happens if multiple clients cache the same file?

Location

- Local disk

– Advantages:

- * Access time reduced.
- * Safer if node fails.

– Disadvantages:

- * Difficult to keep local copy consistent with remote copy.
- * Slower than just keeping it in local memory.
- * Requires client to have a disk.

- When to write local changes to the server has a central role in determining distributed file system performance.
- **Write through:** yields the most reliable results since every write hits the remote disk before the process continues, but it has the poorest performance.
 - Caching with write through is equivalent to using remote service for all writes, and exploits caching only for reads.
 - **Write back:** yields the quickest response time since the write need only hit cache before the process continues.
 - It reduces network traffic and the number of writes to the disk for repeated writes to the same disk block, since only one of the writes will go across the network.
 - If a user machine crashes, the unwritten data is lost.
 - Write-back when file is closed, a block is evicted from cache, or every 30sec.

Cache Update Policies

- Location**
- Local memory
 - **Advantages:** Quick access time.
 - **Disadvantages:**
 - * Difficult to keep local copy consistent with remote copy.
 - * Does not tolerate node failure well.
 - * Limited cache size
 - * Works with diskless workstations.


Remote File Access and Caching

- NFS is the standard for distributed UNIX file access.
- NFS is designed to run on LANs
- Nodes are both servers and clients.
- Servers have no state.
- Uses a mount protocol to make a global name local
 1. /etc/exports lists the local names the server is willing to export.
 2. /etc/fstab lists the global names that the local nodes import. A corresponding global name must be in /etc/exports on the server.

Case Study: Sun's Network File System

- **Client-initiated consistency:** Client contacts the server and asks if its copy is consistent with the server's copy.
 - Can check every access.
 - Can check at a given interval.
 - Can check only upon opening a file.
- **Server-initiated consistency:** Server detects potential conflicts and invalidates caches
 - Server needs to know:
 - * which clients have cached which parts of which files.
 - * Which clients are readers and which are writers.

Cache Consistency



NFS Implementation

- NFS defines a set of RPC operations for remote file access:
 1. directory search, reading directory entries
 2. manipulating links and directories
 3. accessing file attributes
 4. reading/writing files
- Does not rely on node homogeneity - heterogeneous nodes must simply support the NFS mount and remote access protocols using RPC.
- Users may need to know different names depending upon the node to which they logon.

NFS Implementation

Summary

- Naming
 - Desire name independence, but it is difficult to attain
 - Location dependent names are most prevalent
- Speed up remote file access with caching
- Need to write changes back to disk